Case 2:10-cv-00227-BHS Document 200 Filed 04/30/12 Page 1 of 4

ORIGINAL

! 22 3 3 22		

10-CV-00227-VRDCT

ľ	FILEDRECEIV	LODGED Ed
_	APR 3 0 2012	
3	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON A	T TACOMA DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

COLOPLAST A/S,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plaintiff

V.

GENERIC MEDICAL DEVICES, INC.,

Defendant

No. CV 10-227 BHS

VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this court as our verdict in this case.

I. INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS

1. Has Coloplast proven by a preponderance of the evidence that physicians have directly infringed claim 1 of the '211 patent? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for Coloplast. A "NO" answer is a finding for GMD.)

Yes _____ No ______

If you answered "Yes," please answer Question 2. If you answered "No," please proceed to Question 3.

1			Catalog Charles and Charles to the Advantage				
2	2. Has Coloplast proven by a preponderance of the evidence that GMD indirectly						
3	infringed claim 1 of the '211 patent? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for						
4	Coloplast. A "NO" answer is a finding for GMD.)						
	Yes:	No					
5	Answer Question 3 regardless of yo	our answers to Questio	ns 1 and 2.				
6	3. Has Coloplast proven	, by a prepanderones	of the evidence that physicians have				
7		·					
8							
9	question is a finding for Coloplast.						
10	Claim 1:	Yes X	No				
11	Claim 2:	Yes X	No				
12	Claim 3:	Yes X	No				
13	Claim 7:	Yes	No				
14	Claim 8:	Yes X	No				
15	Claim 11:	Yes X	No				
16	If you answered "Yes" to any p	aution of Augstian 3	please answer Question 4. If you				
17							
18	answered "No" to Question 3, plea	se proceed to Section .	И.				
19	4. Has Coloplast proven	by a preponderance	of the evidence that GMD indirectly				
20							
21	finding for Coloplast. A "NO" answer is a finding for GMD.)						
22	Claim 1:	Yes X	No				
23	Claim 2:	Yes X	No				
24	Claim 3:	Yes X	No				
25	Claim 7:	Yes _X	No				
26	Claim 8:	Yes X	No				
	Claim 11:	Yes X	No				
	Please proceed to Section II.						

1	II. INVALIDITY CLAIMS				
2	5. Has GMD proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 1 of the '21				
3	patent are invalid? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for GMD. A "NO" answer i				
4	a finding for Coloplast.)				
5	Yes NoX				
6					
7					
8	6. Has GMD proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted				
9	claims of the '864 patent are invalid? (A "YES" answer to this question is a finding for GMD				
0	A "NO" answer is a finding for Coloplast.)				
1	A NO allswer is a midning for colopiast.)				
12	Claim 1: Yes No				
3					
4	Claim 2: Yes No _X				
15	Claim 3: Yes No X				
16	Claim 7: Yes No				
7	Claim 8: Yes No _X				
18	Claim 11: Yes No				
19					
20	If you have found that any claim is both infringed (by answering "Yes" to Questions 2 or 4				
21	and the same claim is not invalid (by answering "No" to the questions relating to that sam				
22	claim in Section II, then please complete Section III; otherwise, do not complete Section III.				
23					
24					
25					
26					

III. DAMAGES . 7. If you have found that an Asserted Claim of an Asserted Patent is infringed and not invalid, what amount has Coloplast proved it is entitled to as a reasonable royalty? Rate: #55 Total Damages: <u># 159, 775</u> You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Security Guard that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom. DATED: <u>April 30</u>, 2012